

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**THE INDUCTION OF ACID TOLERANCE IN  
*Vibrio cholerae* – FACTORS INFLUENCING AND  
CROSS-PROTECTION RESPONSES**

**ANNEEZA ZAITY ZAINAL**

**Master of Science**

**January 2007**

### Candidate's Declaration

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any other degree or qualification.

In the event that my thesis be found to violate the conditions mentioned above, I voluntarily waive the right of conferment of my degree and be subjected to the disciplinary rules and Universiti Teknologi MARA.


Name of Candidate ..... ANNEEZA JAITY BINTI JAINAL .....

Candidate's ID No. .... 2001310410 .....

Programme ..... AS780 .....

Faculty ..... APPLIED SCIENCE .....

Thesis Title ..... THE INDUCTION OF ACID TOLERANCE IN  
..... Vibrio cholerae - FACTORS INFLUENCING  
..... AND CROSS-PROTECTION RESPONSES .....

Signature of Candidate .....  .....

Date ..... 15 JANUARY 2007 .....

## Abstract

The factors influencing the ability of *Vibrio cholerae* AC-V99 El Tor O1 Ogawa to tolerate acid killing after a pre-exposure to sub-lethal acid pH were studied. The effect of pre-exposure to sub-lethal acid pH on tolerance to other stresses (cross-protection) including heat, osmotic and oxidative stresses was also investigated. The involvement of *de novo* proteins synthesis and effect on cell morphology were also determined. Acid sensitivity test showed that the sublethal pHs for this strain ranged from pH 5.0 to pH 6.0 and at pH 4.5 and below the cells are completely killed. The ability of this bacterium to induce acid tolerance was tested by pre-exposing early exponential cells in LB + 3% NaCl at pH 5.0 for one hour before challenging them to pHs 3.5, 3.0 and 2.5 for a further one hour. Viable counts were determined by plating dilutions of the samples on LB + 3% agar (pH 7.0) at various intervals of time. Cells that were pre-exposed to pH 5.0 survived significantly better ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) at these lethal pHs compared to those that were pre-exposed to pH 7.0. This response is partly dependent on *de novo* protein synthesis as treatment with chloramphenicol, a protein synthesis inhibitor during pre-exposure did not completely eliminate the response. Microscopic examination showed that acid induced cells were found to be elongated and segmented probably due to arrested cell division. The induction of acid tolerance response was found to be affected by growth phase, pH, temperature, pre-exposure time and initial cell density. Early exponential phase cells pre-exposed to pH 5.0 were found to have highest survival rate after one hour of challenge at pH 3.5 followed by stationary phase, late exponential phase and mid-exponential phase cells under the same conditions. A pre-exposure at pH 5.0 for three hours gave maximal protection against acid killing compared to one, two and three hours of pre-exposure time. The induction of acid tolerance was higher when cells were pre-exposed at sub lethal pH 5.0 than at sub-lethal pHs 5.5 and 6.0. Pre-exposure to pH 5.0 at 30°C gave better protection to acid killing than pre-exposure to same pH at 37°C. Cells pre-exposed at pH 5.0 at an initial density of  $OD_{600} \sim 0.1$  to give maximum protection upon challenge to pH 3.5 compare to those pre-exposed at an initial density of  $OD_{600} \sim 0.025$  and  $OD_{600} \sim 0.05$ . Pre-exposure to sublethal acid pH was also found to confer resistance to osmotic and oxidative stress but not to heat stress.

**Keywords:** *Vibrio cholerae*, acid adaptation, acid stress, acid tolerance response (ATR), low pH adaptation, cross-protection responses, morphological changes

## Table of Contents

	Page
Title	
Candidate's Declaration	
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
List of Abbreviations	viii
<b>1.0 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0 Literature Review</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 <i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	4
2.1.1 Historical background of <i>V. cholerae</i> and cholera	6
2.1.2 Classification of <i>V. cholerae</i>	9
2.1.3 Ecology of <i>V. cholerae</i>	10
2.1.4 Pathogenicity of <i>V. cholerae</i>	12
2.1.5 Treatment of cholera	14
2.1.6 Control of cholera cases in Malaysia	15
2.2 Acid Stress	16
2.2.1 Mechanism of acid survival	18
2.2.2 pH homeostasis	19
2.2.2.1 Passive homeostasis	19
2.2.2.2 Active homeostasis	20
2.2.3 Inducible acid tolerance response	20
2.2.4 Acid tolerance response in <i>V. cholerae</i>	23
2.2.5 Significant of ATR	25
2.2.5.1 Significant of the ATR in <i>V. cholerae</i>	27
2.3 Response of bacteria to other stresses / Cross-protection	28
2.3.1 The response to elevated temperatures	29
2.3.2 The response to osmotic stress	31
2.3.3 The response to oxidative stress	33
2.4 Conclusion and perspectives	34
<b>3.0 Materials and Methods</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1 Bacterial strain	35
3.2 Maintenance of <i>V. cholerae</i> culture	35
3.3 Growth media and diluents	35

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

*Vibrio cholerae* is a Gram-negative enteric pathogen that is responsible for the endemic and epidemic secretory diarrhoeal disease known as cholera. This disease is endemic in South East Asia, parts of Africa and much of Central and South America (Faruque et al., 1998).

This bacterium lives within the aquatic reservoirs and enters its human host via the oral route through ingestion of contaminated food and water. As *V. cholerae* enters the human body, it immediately encounters a variety of stressful stimuli, such as elevated temperature, oxygen radicals, extremes of pH, degradative enzymes, and deprivation of certain nutrients, which it has to combat successfully before it can reach the intestinal epithelium and proliferate there (Alvarez et al., 2003). Those that survived will subsequently encounter volatile fatty acids, bile, low oxygen and normal flora in the intestine and specific immune defenses provided by lymphoid tissues of the gastrointestinal tract (Smith, 2003).

Studies in the last decade have showed that one of the ways in which enteric bacteria can survive low pH stress in their hosts is, if they had prior exposure to mild acid stress. This phenomenon has been well documented in a number of gastrointestinal or food-borne pathogenic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* (Castanie-Cornet et al. 1999; Conner & Kotrola, 1995), *Salmonella typhimurium* (Foster, 1995, 1993, 1992, 1991), *Aeromonas hydrophila* (Karem et al., 1994), *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Wong et al. 1998), *Helicobacter pylori* (Mooney et al., 1990), *Listeria monocytogenes* (Kroll & Patchett, 1992), and *Enterococcus faecalis*